	Experimental		Control		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI
Armstrong 2004	0	0	0	0		Not estimable	
Bardhan 2007	243	293	248	288	8.0%	0.96 [0.90, 1.03]	1
Castell 2002	0	0	0	0		Not estimable	
Eggleston 2009	0	0	0	0		Not estimable	
Fennerty 2005	410	498	388	501	8.9%	1.06 [1.00, 1.13]	· •
Gilesen 2004	70	76	69	77	5.2%	1.03 [0.93, 1.14]	†
Glatzel 2007	0	0	0	0		Not estimable	
Howden 2002	123	138	127	139	7.2%	0.98 [0.90, 1.05]	†
Kahrilas 2000	615	654	565	650	12.7%	1.08 [1.04, 1.12]	•
Labenz 2005	1431	1562	1413	1589	14.4%	1.03 [1.01, 1.05]	•
Moraes-Filho 2014	253	279	246	284	9.3%	1.05 [0.99, 1.11]	<u>†</u>
Richter 2001	1139	1216	1018	1209	13.7%	1.11 [1.08, 1.14]	
Schmitt 2006	531	576	514	572	12.6%	1.03 [0.99, 1.06]	•
Scholten 2003	0	0	0	0		Not estimable	
Zheng 2009	62	65	178	199	7.8%	1.07 [0.99, 1.15]	†
Total (95% CI)		5357		5508	100.0%	1.04 [1.02, 1.07]	
Total events	4877		4766				
Heterogeneity: Tau2 = 0.00; Chi2 = 32.49, df = 9 (P = 0.0002); I2 = 72%							0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.04 (P = 0.002) O.01 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]							

Supplementary Figure 11. Forest plot of symptom relief in subjects on esomeprazole 40 mg per day and other standard dose proton pump inhibitors at 8 weeks. M-H, Mantel-Haenszel.